

KYBER CODEFLOW BUYER SHEET**If you already use MGO, why CodeFlow?**

CodeFlow does not replace MGO/MyGovernmentOnline or any incumbent municipal system of record. CodeFlow sits beside it as the workflow-discipline layer that helps staff separate sources, catch gaps or conflicts, and prepare cleaner review-ready packets before official action.

What CodeFlow is

- City-specific workflow support for municipal code review teams.
- Source separation for field photos, PAPA/property appraiser, GIS, permits, code references, records notes, and staff activity.
- Review gates before official action.
- Packet prep for staff to verify, export, attach, or enter into the official system.

What CodeFlow is not

- Not a replacement for MGO, Tyler/EnerGov, Accela, OpenGov, Citizenserve, eTRAKiT, Click2Gov, GIS, or any official system of record.
- Not autonomous enforcement.
- Not legal advice or final violation authority.
- Not fake “integrates with everything” software.
- Not automatic notices, citations, fines, or liens.

The plain answer

MGO can remain the official system. CodeFlow improves the messy source-to-packet work around it.

If MGO already fully solves source conflicts, parcel/owner verification, packet prep, records-readiness, and staff review gates in daily workflow, CodeFlow may not be necessary. But if staff still assembles and verifies the messy source trail around each case, CodeFlow is built for that gap.

The CodeFlow wedge

Buyer problem	CodeFlow answer
Sources are scattered	Source families stay separated and labeled.
Staff may miss conflicts	Source-gap and conflict flags are visible before packet export.

AI can create false confidence	CodeFlow slows down unsafe certainty with staff review gates.
Packet prep is manual	Review-ready packet output assembles evidence, source notes, and required checks.
Records/export risk exists	Records-readiness and custodian-review notes are surfaced.
Official system must remain official	Manual export / packet attachment is honest until integration is verified.

Implementation posture

1. Map stack
2. Mark system of record
3. Manual export first
4. Prove packet workflow
5. Verify before integration claims

CodeFlow starts beside the incumbent platform. It only claims deeper integration after city-specific account permissions, vendor permissions, and data paths are verified.

Founder line

"We are not trying to become a smaller MGO. CodeFlow is the workflow-discipline layer that helps staff separate sources, catch conflicts, move through review gates, and prepare cleaner packets before official action enters MGO or another system of record."

Authority boundary: CodeFlow is staff-final-review-only. AI suggests, assembles, and flags. City staff verifies, approves, and controls official action.